H'A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,565	02/23/2004	Stephen Hosking	. 15436.299	8644
22913 WORKMAN N	7590 01/26/200 YYDEGGER	EXAMINER		
`	MAN NYDEGGER &	SEELEY)	RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO	
60 EAST SOUT 1000 EAGLE C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE C	CITY, UT 84111		2828	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	РАІ	OEB

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/784,565	HOSKING, STEPHEN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ	2828
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO pi - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versely within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□ T 3)□ S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Northing</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward losed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
	n of Claims		
5)	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5,7 and 9-22 is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4,6 and 8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and a complicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine oath or declaration of the oath of	withdrawn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the lidrawing(s) is objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) <u>□</u> 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b)	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notice of 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1-8-2007	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

Application/Control Number: 10/784,565

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of species I claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 in the reply filed on November 9, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that species I and III should be assigned the same species because they refer to implementing the control of the bias and modulation at different times. This is not found persuasive because species I and III do refer to implementation at different times as interpreted by the examiner, however the applicant's attention is directed to the limitation in species I where the bias is implemented prior to the modulation and in species III the limitation where the modulation is implemented prior to the bias, therefore the species I and III are mutually exclusive.

Applicant's arguments pertaining to claims 6,7, 8 and 9, is not understood because the claims pertain to linear and non-linear implementation, the examiner is unable to find in the office action mailed on October 10, 2006, where claims 6, 7, 8 and 9 are described as implementing bias and modulation at different times.

Applicant's argument pertaining to the specification implying limitations within the claims is impermissible, applicant's attention is directed to MPEP 2111 Claim Interpretation.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 3, 5, 7, 9-22 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/784,565

Art Unit: 2828

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russell et al (US 6,414,974).

Regarding claim 1,

Figures 4 and 5 illustrates a method for controlling the operation of a laser diode, as illustrated in figure 4 the bias current lb of the laser diode is controlled to first level (increase) or a second level (reduce). Figure 5 illustrates the modulation Im of the laser diode having a first value (increase) and a second value (reduce).

Regarding claims 2, 4,

Figures 4 and 5 and column 11 lines 7-11, discloses implementing the laser bias control prior to the implementation of the laser modulation control.

Regarding claims 6, 8,

Figure 6 illustrates implementing the bias control and modulation control linearly with respect to time.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is 571-272-1952. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARMANDO RODRIGUE

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2828

AR